1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
7	VALENTE LOPEZ,	NO: 4:17-CV-5015-RMP
8	Plaintiff,	ORDER DISMISSING ACTION
9	V.	
10	LAWRENCE MARTIN, M.D,	
11		
	Defendant.	
12	Defendant.	
		Court directed Plaintiff to comply with 28
13	By Order filed April 11, 2017, the	Court directed Plaintiff to comply with 28 ment of his inmate account (or institutional
13 14	By Order filed April 11, 2017, the	ment of his inmate account (or institutional
13 14 15	By Order filed April 11, 2017, the U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) by providing a state equivalent) for the six months immediate	ment of his inmate account (or institutional
13 14 15 16	By Order filed April 11, 2017, the U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) by providing a state equivalent) for the six months immediate	ment of his inmate account (or institutional ely preceding the submission of his alternative, the Court directed Plaintiff, a
113 114 115 116 117	By Order filed April 11, 2017, the U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) by providing a state equivalent) for the six months immediate complaint on February 16, 2017. In the a	ment of his inmate account (or institutional ely preceding the submission of his alternative, the Court directed Plaintiff, a ections Center, to pay the filing fee to
113 114 115 116 117 118	By Order filed April 11, 2017, the U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) by providing a state equivalent) for the six months immediate complaint on February 16, 2017. In the apro se prisoner at the Coyote Ridge Corr	ment of his inmate account (or institutional ely preceding the submission of his alternative, the Court directed Plaintiff, a ections Center, to pay the filing fee to 1914. Plaintiff did not comply with the
112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 220	By Order filed April 11, 2017, the U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) by providing a state equivalent) for the six months immediate complaint on February 16, 2017. In the apro se prisoner at the Coyote Ridge Correcommence this action under 28 U.S.C. §	ment of his inmate account (or institutional ely preceding the submission of his alternative, the Court directed Plaintiff, a ections Center, to pay the filing fee to 1914. Plaintiff did not comply with the
113 114 115 116 117 118 119	By Order filed April 11, 2017, the U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) by providing a state equivalent) for the six months immediate complaint on February 16, 2017. In the apro se prisoner at the Coyote Ridge Correcommence this action under 28 U.S.C. § Court's directives and has filed nothing for the court of the court	ment of his inmate account (or institutional ely preceding the submission of his alternative, the Court directed Plaintiff, a ections Center, to pay the filing fee to 1914. Plaintiff did not comply with the

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION -- 1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

Although granted numerous opportunities to do so, Plaintiff did not comply			
with the statutory requirements of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914 and 1915. Therefore, IT IS			
ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED without prejudice.			
IT IS SO ORDERED. The Clerk of Court is directed to enter this Order,			
enter judgment, forward copies to Plaintiff and close the file. The Court certifies			
any appeal of this dismissal would not be taken in good faith.			

DATED June 9, 2017.

s/Rosanna Malouf Peterson

ROSANNA MALOUF PETERSON
United States District Judge